



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ML

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,252	07/23/2003	Glen J. Anderson	P1933US00	9293
24333	7590	10/11/2007	EXAMINER	
GATEWAY, INC.			DUNHAM, JASON B	
ATTN: Patent Attorney			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
610 GATEWAY DRIVE			3625	
MAIL DROP Y-04			MAIL DATE	
N. SIOUX CITY, SD 57049			DELIVERY MODE	
			10/11/2007	
			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/625,252	ANDERSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason B. Dunham	3625	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 July 2007.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,3,5-7,9-13 and 15-23 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1,3,5-7,9-13 and 15-23 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant amended claims 1,7,13, and 20-21, canceled claims 2,8, and 14 and added claims 22-23. Claims 1,3,5-7,9-13 and 15-23 are pending. Claim 7 was incorrectly label as previously presented but the claim will be treated as currently amended based on the amended limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3,5-7,9-13,15-20, and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roth (U.S. Patent No. 6,285,987) in view of Kiely (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0077960).

Referring to claim 1. The combination of Roth and Kiely discloses a method for providing one or more real-time marketing opportunities to third parties during a sales transaction between a customer and a seller for purchasing a product, the real-time marketing opportunity being offered by the seller, the method comprising:

- Establishing a communication connection between the seller and the third parties (Roth: abstract);
- Determining an occurrence of the sales transaction (Kiely: abstract);

- Issuing, by the seller during the occurrence of the sales transaction, an alert over the established connection to the third parties that the sales transaction is in progress (Kiely: abstract, figure 3, and paragraph 10) and a bidding process is open for soliciting bids on at least one of the one or more real-time marketing opportunities (Roth: abstract).
- Establishing a time duration for the bidding process associated with the at least one real-time marketing opportunity (Roth: column 7, lines 26 – 33);
- Receiving, by the seller during the occurrence of the sales transaction, one or more bids from one or more of the third parties for the at least one real-time marketing opportunity (Kiely: abstract and paragraph 10 and Roth: abstract).

The examiner notes that figure 3 and paragraph 50 of Kiely discloses brokering upsell opportunities during a sales transaction and Roth discloses accepting bids for the marketing opportunity.

- Determining a winning bid for each of the one or more real-time marketing opportunities included in the bidding process based on the one or more bids raised (Roth: figure 2b).
- Including, by the seller during the occurrence of the sales transaction, at least one of the one or more real-time marketing opportunities corresponding to the winning bid (Kiely: abstract and paragraph 10 and Roth: column 12, lines 28 – 40 and column 13, lines 16-24).); and
- Completing the sales transaction between the seller and the customer for the product (Kiely: abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to have modified the method of Roth to have included issuing an alert to third parties from the seller during the sale transaction, as taught by Kiely, in order to provide means for direct marketing access to customers (Kiely: paragraphs 4 and 10).

Referring to claim 3. The combination of Roth and Kiely further discloses a method wherein the one or more real-time marketing opportunities include an opportunity to provide an offer to be included in the transaction for the purchase of the product (Kiely: abstract). Roth teaches providing an advertisement but does not expressly disclose providing a peripheral, a promotion, a download, or an offer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to have modified the method of Roth to have included providing an offer, as taught by Kiely, in order to provide upsell content to customers (Kiely: paragraphs 13 –15).

Referring to claims 5 –6. The combination of Roth and Kiely further discloses a method, wherein the step of establishing a communication connection includes:

- Offering general information associated with the one or more real-time marketing opportunities on an Internet site (Roth: abstract) or via a telephone conversation (Kiely: paragraph 24) associated with the seller; and
- Allowing the third parties to establish a communication connection with the seller over the Internet site (Roth: abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of applicant's invention to have modified the method of Roth to have included communicating via

telephone with the seller, as taught by Kiely, in order to allow various methods in which advertisements could be submitted (Kiely: paragraph 24).

Referring to claims 7 and 9-12. Claims 7 and 9-12 are rejected under the same rationale set forth in the rejection of claims 1,3, and 5 - 6. The combination of Roth and Kiely discloses apparatus comprising the components disclosed in claims 7 and 9-12.

Referring to claims 13 and 15-18. The combination of Roth and Kiely discloses all of the limitations of the article of manufacture claims 13 and 15-18 as discussed above in the rejection of the similar method claims 1,3, and 5 - 6. The combination of Roth and Kiely further discloses an article of manufacture permitting a customer to take advantage of marketing offers made during a sales transaction by responding and completing the sales transaction for both the product and offer (Kiely: abstract). The examiner notes the motivation to combine Roth and Kiely would be the same as noted under the rejection of claim 1.

Referring to claim 19. Claim 19 is rejected under the same rationale set forth in the rejection of claim 1. The examiner notes that the marketing opportunity of claim 1 would inherently contain an offer.

Referring to claim 20. The combination of Roth and Kiely further discloses a method wherein the real time marketing opportunity includes providing a download, and additionally comprising the step of offering the download to the customer during the occurrence of the sales transaction (Kiely: paragraph 31). The examiner notes the motivation to combine Roth and Kiely would be the same as noted under the rejection of claim 3.

Referring to claims 22-23. Claims 22-23 are rejected under the same rationale set forth in the rejection of claims 1 and 13.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Roth and Kiely in view in of Kamei (US 2004/0054580).

Referring to claim 21. The combination of Roth and Kiely discloses all of the above but does not expressly disclose a method of including a peripheral as a marketing opportunity. Kamei discloses a method for providing a marketing opportunity that includes a peripheral along with the sale transaction (Kamei: abstract, figure 12, and paragraph 98). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to have modified the method of Roth and Kiely to have included a peripheral as a marketing opportunity, as taught by Kamei, in order to send advertisements to a user based on equipment owned by the user (Kamei: paragraph 98).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 30, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Kiely discloses the upsell offer only occurring "post-sale opportunity." The examiner disagrees and notes the abstract of Kiely disclosing:

"At or near (emphasis added) the completion of the transaction, transaction details are communicated to the third party upsell server. The upsell server provides an offer directly to the customer. Should the customer accept the offer, an upsell order is processed by the upsell server in a manner that is seamless to the customer."

Clearly, Kiely makes it obvious that the upsell offer can occur before completion of the transaction so that the upsell can be included in the original transaction.

In response to applicant's arguments regarding the rejection of claim 19, the examiner has more clearly indicated the rationale for rejection above.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason B. Dunham whose telephone number is 571-272-8109. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Smith can be reached on 571-272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JBD
Patent Examiner
10/9/07



MATTHEW S. GART
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600